

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:
PAUL A. SERBINOWSKI
PEARNE & GORDON
1801 EAST 9TH ST.
SUITE 1200
CLEVELAND, OH 44114-3108

PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Applicant's or agent's file reference KENT.36398WO		Date of mailing (day/month/year) DEC 2005 FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT/US05/03141	28 January 2005 (28.01.2005)	28 January 2005 (28.01.2005)
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC IPC(7): B32B 7/02; B41M 5/26, 3/12; B44C 1/165; D03D 15/00; G09G 5/00; G01K 3/02 and US Cl.: 156/230,247,272.2; 345/204; 428/40.1,40.9,41.6,195,212; 442/209,210,213		
Applicant KENT DISPLAYS INCORPORATED		

COPY

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- ☒ Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- ☐ Box No. II Priority
- ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- ☒ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230	Date of completion of this opinion 16 September 2005 (16.09.2005)	Authorized officer Bipin H Shalwala Telephone No. 703-305-9618
--	--	--

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (April 2005)

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.

PCT/US05/03141

Box No. I Basis of this opinion

1. With regard to the **language**, this opinion has been established on the basis of:

- ☒ the international application in the language in which it was filed
- ☐ a translation of the international application into _____, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).

2. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:

a. type of material

- ☐ a sequence listing
- ☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing

b. format of material

- ☐ on paper
- ☐ in electronic form

c. time of filing/furnishing

- ☐ contained in the international application as filed.
- ☐ filed together with the international application in electronic form.
- ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.

3. ☐ In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.

4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/US05/03141

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims <u>NONE</u>	YES
	Claims <u>1-34</u>	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims <u>NONE</u>	YES
	Claims <u>1-34</u>	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims <u>1-34</u>	YES
	Claims <u>NONE</u>	NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1-34 novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Umeya et al., (US 20030031845 A1). Umeya shows a liquid crystal display having a plurality of stacked layers (In fig. 1) and plurality of electrically conductive layers disposed so as to be located near both of opposing surface of the liquid crystal layers wherein only one of the electrically conductive layers is disposed between adjacent the liquid crystal layers (section 0034) and a top electrode layer and a first middle electrode layer and a third layer and bottom electrode layer (In fig. 2, 3) and a reflective state of a portion the first liquid crystal layer corresponding to a pixel of the display is changed by providing a voltage difference between an electrode of the top electrode layer and an electrode of the upper middle electrode layer and adapted such that a reflective state of a portion and second liquid crystal layer corresponding to the pixel of the display (section 0039).